

1-13-05

Ifu

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chet Ray Nunez  
Serial No.: 10/661,661  
Filed: September 15, 2003  
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR THE MANUFACTURE OF PHARMACEUTICAL WATER  
Group Art Unit: 1724 Attorney Docket No.: 230  
Examiner: Ivars C. Cintins Confirmation No. 9492

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment in the above-captioned patent application, mailed by the USPTO Legal Instrument Examiner (LIE) on January 7, 2005, (copy attached) please reenter in the record of this application the Amendment that was filed on November 18, 2004, which is now being resubmitted herewith in corrected form in its entirety.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of any required fee to USPTO Deposit Account No. 501834.

Respectfully submitted,

Raúl V. Fonte  
Attorney for Applicant  
1615 Poydras Street, Suite 253  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112  
USPTO Reg. No. 27,882  
USPTO Customer No. 26996  
Tel 504-582-4234  
rfonte@cticonsult.com  
January 12, 2005

Enclosure



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,661	09/15/2003	Chet Ray Nunez	Case 230	9492

26996 7590 01/07/2005

RAUL V. FONTE  
REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY  
1615 POYDRAS STREET  
SUITE 253  
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70112

EXAMINER

CINTINS, IVARS C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------


1724

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



10/661,661  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 145  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-145  
www.uspto.gov

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

The amendment document filed on 11/18/04 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirement of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. Only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment document must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted. 37 CFR 1.121(h).

## THE FOLLOWING CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:
- ☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
  - ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
  - ☐ C. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 2. Abstract:
- ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
  - ☐ B. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ 4. Amendments to the claims:
- ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
  - ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
  - ☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following 7 status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New) and (Not entered).
  - ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
  - ☒ E. Other: Claims 11-20 Need Status Identifiers

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/prcognotice/officeflyer.pdf>.

If the non-compliant amendment is a **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**, applicant is given ONE MONTH from the mail date of this letter to supply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in non-entry of the preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed changes in the preliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extendable.

If the non-compliant amendment is a reply to a **NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION** (including a submission for an RCE), and since the amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a **TIME PERIOD** of ONE MONTH from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

If the amendment is a reply to a **FINAL REJECTION**, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for response to a final rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant status of the amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

Gayle Butler

371-272-0995  
Telephone No.

571